



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/851,369	05/08/2001	Julie F. Lyman	7784-000185	2389		
27572	7590 10/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
HARNESS, I	DICKEY & PIERCE, F	TRAN,	TRAN, HAI V			
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2611			
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	O. /	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/851,369		LYMAN, JULIE F.	
		Examiner	. ,	Art Unit	
•	•	. Hai Tran		2611	
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication	n appears on the cov	er sheet with the co	rrespondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STA WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fron - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the si Any reply received by the O	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR R NGER, FROM THE MAILIN available under the provisions of 37 Ci n the mailing date of this communication crified above, the maximum statutory p et or extended period for reply will, by office later than three months after the nent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS (FR 1.136(a). In no event, ho on. period will apply and will expi statute, cause the application	COMMUNICATION. bwever, may a reply be timely re SIX (6) MONTHS from the n to become ABANDONED	y filed e mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is F 3) ☐ Since this appli	communication(s) filed on [INAL. 2b) [X] cation is in condition for all dance with the practice under the condition for the co	This action is non-flowance except for f	ormal matters, prose		ments is
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the abov 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers 9) ☐ The specificatio 10) ☐ The drawing(s) Applicant may no	s/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction a n is objected to by the Examiled on is/are: a) t request that any objection to	nd/or election requireminer. accepted or b)□ oo the drawing(s) be he	rement. bjected to by the Ex ld in abeyance. See 3	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	wing sheet(s) including the collaration is objected to by the				
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119				
a) All b) Solution So	nt is made of a claim for forme * c) None of: copies of the priority documed to the priority document of the certified copies of the on from the International Budetailed Office action for a	ments have been red ments have been red priority documents l ureau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been received 2(a)).	n No in this National S	Stage
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	3) 3/08) 5) <u> </u>	Interview Summary (P' Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Pate Other:	·	·152)

Application/Control Number: 09/851,369

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1, 12, 13,15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Wiedeman et al. (US 6661996).

Claim 1, Wiedeman discloses a method for providing data content to a plurality of platforms traveling in a plurality of satellite coverage regions, each platform including a mobile communications system configured for bi-directional communication with a ground segment via satellite link (Fig. 2A-C; Fig. 4-5), said method comprising the steps of:

within each of the coverage regions, multicasting data content selected for the region to platforms via an associated ground station and satellite (Col. 8, lines 65-Col. 9, line 33); and

as a platform leaves one of the coverage regions and enters another of the coverage regions, configuring the mobile communications system on the entering platform to receive the multicast in the coverage region being entered, said step

Art Unit: 2611

being performed via the ground station associated with the coverage region being left (Col. 10, lines 53-Col. 11, lines 20).

Claim 12 is analyzed with respect to claim 1.

Claim 13 is analyzed with respect to claim 1.

Claim 15 is analyzed with respect to claim 1

Claim 18 is analyzed with respect to claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al. (US 6760778) in view of Wiedeman et al. (US 6661996).

Claim 1, Nelson discloses a method for of providing data content to a plurality of platforms traveling in a plurality of satellite coverage regions, each platform including a mobile communications system configured for bi-directional communication with a ground segment via satellite link (Fig. 1), said method comprising the steps of:

within each of the coverage regions, multicasting data content selected for the region (Fig. 6) to platforms via an associated ground station and satellite (Col. 9, lines 38-Col. 10, lines 10; Col. 12, lines 8-30); and

Art Unit: 2611

as a platform leaves one of the coverage regions and enters another of the coverage regions, configuring the mobile communications system on the entering platform to receive the multicast in the coverage region being entered, said step being performed via the ground station associated with the coverage region being left (Col. 11, lines 42-Col. 12, lines 7). Nelson further discloses that the present system able to configure of using satellite (Col. 14, lines 7-10).

Nelson does not clearly disclose providing data content to a plurality of platforms traveling in a plurality of satellite coverage regions configured for bidirectional communication with a ground segment via satellite link.

Wiedeman, an analogous art, discloses a system of providing data content to a plurality of platforms traveling in a plurality of satellite coverage regions configured for bi-directional communication with a ground segment via satellite link (Fig. 2A-C; Fig. 4-5; Fig. 6; Col. 9, lines 38-Col. 10, lines 10; Col. 12, lines 8-30 and Col. 11, lines 42-Col. 12, lines 7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nelson of having a plurality of platforms traveling in a plurality of satellite coverage regions configured for bi-directional communication with a ground segment via satellite link, as taught by Wiedeman so to provide a larger effective terrestrial coverage area of communication.

Claim 2, Nelson (Col. 12, lines 10-55) in view of Wiedeman further discloses the step of customizing data content for a regional multicast to relate to at least one of a platform provider, a geographic location and a platform destination.

Claim 3, Nelson (Col. 12, lines 21-23) in view of Wiedeman further discloses wherein said step of multicasting data content comprises the step of multicasting video content.

Claim 4, Nelson (Col. 8, lines 34-36) in view of Wiedeman further discloses wherein said step of multicasting data content is performed within the plurality of coverage regions using a scheduling function.

Claim 5, Nelson(Col. 11, lines 44-54) in view of Wiedeman further discloses the step of configuring the mobile communications system to drop data content directed to platforms other than those provided by the provider of the entering platform.

Claim 6, Nelson (Col. 11, lines 44-54) in view of Wiedeman further discloses the step of configuring the mobile communications system to accept at least a portion of the multicast data content for delivery to a user on the entering platform.

Claim 7, Nelson (Col. 11, lines 54-Col. 12, lines 8) in view of Wiedeman further discloses wherein said step of configuring the mobile communications system

on the entering platform comprises the step of transmitting changes to a forwarding table of the mobile communications system.

Claim 8, Nelson in view of Wiedeman (Col. 6, lines 47-Col. 7, lines 5) further discloses wherein said step of transmitting changes to a forwarding table is performed at satellite hand-off.

Claim 9, Nelson (Col. 12, lines 24-40) in view of Wiedeman further discloses the step of varying the data content multicasts according to coverage region.

Claim 10. Nelson (Col. 8, lines 35-37) in view of Wiedeman further discloses wherein said step of multicasting data content comprises the step of refreshing at least a portion of data content previously received by a platform (does not need a air ground link, data is retrieved from the pre-loaded storage) while in another coverage region.

Claim 11, Nelson (Col. 8, lines 35-37) in view of Wiedeman further discloses the step of allowing at least a portion of data content previously received by a platform while in another coverage region to expire.

Claim 12 is analyzed with respect to claim 1.

Claim 13 is analyzed with respect to claims 1 and 5.

Claim 14 is analyzed with respect to claim 7.

Claim 15 is analyzed with respect to claim 1.

Claim 16 is analyzed with respect to claim 9.

Claim 17, Nelson (Col. 12, lines 21-23) in view of Wiedeman further discloses wherein for each of the coverage regions, the associated ground station and satellite are configured to multicast video data to users on a platform in the coverage region.

Claim 18 is analyzed with respect to claim 1.

Claim 19 is analyzed with respect to claim 4.

Claim 20 is analyzed with respect to claim 7.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7305. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher C. Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,369

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

HT:ht 09/29/2005

HAITRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINED

Page 8